की आवश्यकता 1996-97 मूल्यों पर 8,75,000 करोड़ रु. आंकी गई है। तथापि, सरकार ने प्रारूप की समीक्षा करने का निर्णय लिया है ताकि शासन के लिए राष्ट्रीय एजेंण्डा में यथावर्णित परिवर्तित प्राथमिकताओं को उसमें दर्शाया जा सके। अपेक्षित अतिरिक्त वित्तीय संसाधनों सहित विस्तृत कार्य योजनाएं पहले ही तैयार की जा रही हैं, जिन्हें, अंतिम रूप देकर वर्तमान प्रारूप के साथ समेकित कर दिया जाएगा।

Share of Various Sectors in GDP and National Export

4113. DR. ARUN KUMAR SARMA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the share of Industry, Animal Husbandry,
Agriculture, Sericulture and Fisheries in the G.D.P, and national export during the last five years, year-wise; and

(b) the plan and non-plan budgetary allocations made against each sector during Eight Plan and proposed for Ninth Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAY AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI RAM NAIK): (a) The share of Industry, Agriculture including Livestock and Fishing as percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) as estimated by the Central Statistical Organisation (CSO) for the last five years is given in Annexure. *[See Appendix 184, Annexure No. 101].* Estimates of GDP from Sericulture is not prepared by CSO seperately. The share of Industry, Amimal Husbandry, Agriculture and Sericulture in National export for the last five years is given in Annexure. *[See Appendix 184, Annexure No. 101]*

(b)The Plan outlay headwise, for the Eighth Five Year Plan is given in Annexure. *[See Appexdix 184, Annexure No. 101].* The non-plan allocations, headwise, for the Eighth Five Year Plan is given in Annexure. *[See Appendix 184, Annexure No. 101]* For the Ninth Five Year Plan, the Draft Plan, approved at the internal meetings of the Planning Comission was released by Deputy Chairman, Planning Commission. This Plan is yet to be considered by the National Development Council. The Govemment has decided to review this Draft so as to suitably reflect the altered priorities, as enunciated in the National Agenda for Governance. Detailed action plans are already under preparation wheih will, upon finalisation be integrated with the existing draft. This review document will then be submitted through due process, for consideration and approval of the National Development Council.

केन्द्रीय योजनाओं का क्रियान्वयन

4114. **श्री ओंकर सिंह लखावत**: क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या केन्द्रीय सरकार की योजनाओं के क्रियान्वयन का प्रतवर्ष राज्यवार मूल्यांकन किया जाता है, यदि हां, तो वर्ष 1996-97 और 1997-98 के दौरान विभिन्न राज्यों की कार्यक्रम क्रियान्वयन की क्या स्थिति रही; और

(ख) उक्त अवधि के दौरान केन्द्र सरकार की योजनाओं के क्रियान्वयन में राजस्थान की क्या स्थिति रहीं?

रेल मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री और संसदीय कार्य मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री तथा योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री राम नाईक): (क) और (ख) इस विभाग द्वारा बीस सूत्री कार्यक्रम 1986 के अन्तर्गत केन्द्रीय सरकार की कुछ योजनाएं मांसिक आधार पर प्रबोधित की जाती है। बीस सूत्री कार्यक्रम के कार्यान्वयन की प्रगति के संदर्भ में श्रेणीवार निर्धारण का कार्य राज्यों/क्षेत्रों को सौपा गया है। बीस सूत्री कार्यान्वयन के प्रबोधन के आधार पर वर्ष 1996-97 एवं 1997-98 की अवधि के दौरान राज्यों/संघ क्षेत्रों का श्रेणीवार संलग्न विवरण में दिया गया है नीचे देखिए सन् 1996-97 में राजस्थान तृतीय एवं 1997-98 में प्रथम रहा।

विवरण

वर्ष 1996-97 एवं 1997-98 के लिए बीस सूत्री कार्यक्रम के निष्पादन पर राज्यों का श्रेणी बार निर्धारण

क्रम सं.	राज्य/सां.शा. क्षेत्र	1996-97	1997-98
1	आन्ध्र प्रदेश	7	7
2	अरूणाचल प्रदेश	9	15

क्रम सं.	राज्य/सां.शा.-क्षेत्र	1996-97	1997-98
3	असम	25	23
4	बिहार	26	26
5	दिल्ली	24	24
6	गोवा	21	17
7	गुजरात	1	1
8	हिरियाणा	20	16
9	हिमाचल प्रदेश	1	1
10	जम्मू और कश्मीर	19	19
11	कर्नाटक	7	7
12	केरल	14	19
13	मध्य प्रदेश	9	5
14	महाराष्ट्र	14	9
15	मणीपुर	23	25
16	मेघालय	16	19
17	मिजोरम	16	18
18	नागालैण्ड	27	27
19	उड़ीसा	11	10
20	पांडिचेरी	13	14
21	पंजाब	12	13
22	राजस्थान	3	1
23	सिविकम	5	12
24	तमिलनाडु	4	1
25	त्रिपुरा	16	22
26	उत्तर प्रदेश	6	6
27	पश्चिमी बंगाल	22	11

Per Capita Allocation for Agriculture and Rural Development

4115. SHRI RAHASBIHARI BARIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the per capita allocation tor agriculture and rural development during each of the last three years, Statewise;

(b) the amount demanded by each State Govemment and the funds sanctioned by Govemment for the purpose during each of the last three years; and

(c) the criteria laid down by Govemment for the allotment of funds to State Governments?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAY AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPI^MENTATION (SHRI RAM NAIK): (a) and (c) The overall plan outalys of the States are decided through mutual discussions between the Deputy Chairman, Planning Commission and the respective Chief Minister/ Governors keeping in view the availability of resources which consist of State's own Resources and Central Assistance. The sector wise allocations within the total plan outaly are proposed by the States and finalised in consultation with the Planning Commission keeping in view their priorities and the overall objectives of the Five Year Plan and these are not decided on per capita basis. A Statement indicating the State-wise allocations for Agiculture and Rural Development for the State Plans as decided on the basis of mutal discussions for the years 1995-96,1996-97 and 1997-98 is at Annexure. *[See* Appendix, 184 Annexure No. 102]. Statewise outlays for Agriculture and Rural Development sectors divided by respective population of the States for the census year 1991 are shown at Annexure. *[See* Appendix 184, Annexure No. 102]. The Central Assistance for State Plans is provided in the form of Block Loans and Grants which is allocated on the basis of Gadgil-Mukherjee formula approved by the National Development Council 1991. As per the formula, after providing required funds for Externally Aided Projects and for Area Programmes, from the balance, 30% is kept aside for 10 Special Category States. The balance is distributed among the Non-Special Category States by taking into account ceitain parameters like state's per capita income, population, performance, special problems etc.

Besides the Outlays tor different sectors for the State Plans, outlays are also allocated for Central Ministries on the basis of inter-se priority of different sectors and total resource availability in consultation with the concemed